

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/943,811	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAROIA, RAJIV	
	<b>Examiner</b> Juan A. Torres	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Juan A. Torres

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Michael Straub

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 December 2006
**Time:** 10:30 AM (EST)
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

Claims 28-36

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Discussion of claims 28-36 rejections under 35 USC § 101. The Examiner proposed on 12/11/2006 in a phone interview with the Applicant's representative to amend the claims with an Examiner amendment to overcome the 101 rejection indicated by the 101 panel, the Applicant's representative asked the Examiner until Friday 12/15/2006 to discuss the case with the Applicant. On 12/18/2006 the Examiner contacted again the Applicants representative and this indicated that the Applicant decided no to act because an Allowable Office action has been mailed. The Applicant's representative apologized for not contacting the Examiner previously to the Examiner's call. The Examiner indicated that probably a new Office action was going to be mailed indicating the new 101 rejections.